Optimal Fault-Tolerant Linear Arrays

Toshinori Yamada Department of Information and Computer Sciences Saitama University Saitama 338–8570, Japan yamada@pd.ics.saitama-u.ac.jp

ABSTRACT

This paper proves that for every positive integers n and k, we can explicitly construct a graph G with n + O(k) vertices and maximum degree 3, such that even after removing any kvertices from G, the remaining graph still contains a path of length n - 1. This settles a problem raised by Zhang [11,12] in connection with the design of fault-tolerant linear arrays.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

C.1.4 [Computer Systems Organization]: Processor Architectures—parallel architectures; C.2.1 [Computer Systems Organization]: Computer Communication Networks network architecture and design; F.1.2 [Theory of Computation]: Modes of Computation—parallelism and concurrency; G.1.0 [Mathematics of Computing]: Numerical Analysis—General; G.2.2 [Mathematics of Computing]: Discrete Mathematics—Graph Theory

General Terms

Algorithms, Design, Measurement, Performance, Reliability, Theory, Verification

Keywords

Fault-Tolerant Graph, Linear Array, Magnifier, Expander

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the following problem motivated by the design of fault-tolerant linear array multiprocessor systems. Let G be a graph, and let V(G) and E(G) denote the vertex set and edge set of G, respectively. $\Delta(G)$ is the maximum degree of a vertex in G. For any $S \subseteq V(G)$, G - S is the graph obtained from G by deleting the vertices of S together with the edges incident with the vertices in S. Let k be a positive integer. A graph G is called a k-FT (k-faulttolerant) graph for a graph H if G - F contains H as a Shuichi Ueno Department of Communications and Integrated Systems Graduate School of Science and Engineering Tokyo Institute of Technology Tokyo 152–8552, Japan

ueno@lab.ss.titech.ac.jp

subgraph for every $F \subseteq V(G)$ with $|F| \leq k$. Our problem is to construct a k-FT graph G for an *n*-vertex path P_n such that both |V(G)| and $\Delta(G)$ are as small as possible.

A large amount of research has been devoted to constructing k-FT graphs for P_n [1–3, 6–8, 10–12]. Among others, Bruck, Cypher, and Ho [2] show a k-FT graph for P_n with $n + k^2$ vertices and maximum degree of 4. Zhang [11, 12] shows a k-FT graph for P_n with $n + O(k \log k)$ vertices and $O(\log k)$ maximum degree, and a k-FT graph for P_n with $n + O(k \log^2 k)$ vertices and O(1) maximum degree. Zhang [11, 12] also raised the following open question: Is it possible to construct an explicit k-FT graph for P_n with n + O(k) vertices and O(1) maximum degree? It should be noted that such a k-FT graph is optimal in the sense that every k-FT graph for P_n has $n + \Omega(k)$ vertices and $\Omega(1)$ maximum degree.

In this paper, we settle the question by showing the following.

THEOREM 1. For any positive integers n and k, we can explicitly construct a k-FT graph G for P_n such that |V(G)| = n + O(k) and $\Delta(G) = 3$. \square

We note that Alon and Chung [1] proved that for any positive integers n and $k = \Omega(n)$, we can explicitly construct a k-FT graph G for P_n such that |V(G)| = n + O(k) and $\Delta(G) = O(1)$.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let $\Gamma_G(v)$ denote the set of vertices adjacent to v in a graph G, $\Gamma_G(X) = \bigcup_{v \in X} \Gamma_G(v)$, and $\partial X = \Gamma_G(X) - X$ for any $X \subseteq V(G)$. We define that $\deg_G(v) = |\Gamma_G(v)|$, and $\Delta(G) = \max_{v \in V(G)} \deg_G(v)$.

In order to prove Theorem 1, we first need a few results on expanders and magnifiers.

2.1 Expanders

Let $c \leq 1$. A bipartite graph B with bipartition (I, O) is an (n, d, c)-expander if the following three conditions are satisfied:

1. |I| = |O| = n;2. $\Delta(B) \le d;$ 3. $|\Gamma_B(X)| \ge \left\{ 1 + c \left(1 - \frac{|X|}{n} \right) \right\} \cdot |X| \text{ for every } X \subseteq I.$

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

SPAA'03, June 7–9, 2003, San Diego, California, USA.

Copyright 2003 ACM 1-58113-661-7/03/0006 ...\$5.00.

For any positive integer m, let $[m] = \{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$. GG(m) is the bipartite graph with bipartition (I, O) defined as follows: $I = [m]^2 \times \{0\}$ and $O = [m]^2 \times \{1\}$; Each vertex $[i, j, 0] \in I$ is connected with seven vertices $[i, j, 1], [i + 2j, j, 1], [i + 2j + 1, j, 1], [i + 2j + 2, j, 1], [i, j + 2i, 1], [i, j + 2i + 1, 1], [i, j + 2i + 2, 1] \in O$, each by an edge, where additions are performed modulo m. Gabber and Galil proved in [5] the following theorem.

THEOREM I. [5] For any positive integer m, GG(m) is an $(m^2, 7, (2 - \sqrt{3})/2)$ -expander. \Box

2.2 Magnifiers

Let $c \leq 1$. A graph G is an (n, d, c)-magnifier if the following three conditions are satisfied:

- 1. |V(G)| = n;
- 2. $\Delta(G) \leq d;$
- 3. $|\partial X| \ge c|X|$ for every $X \subset V(G)$ with $|X| \le n/2$.

Note that an (n, d, c)-magnifier is connected if c > 0.

For any positive integer m, M(m) is the graph obtained from GG(m) by merging [i, j, 0] and [i, j, 1] for every $i, j \in$ [m] and removing all self-loops, that is the graph defined as follows: $V(M(m)) = [m]^2$; Each vertex $[i, j] \in V(M(m))$ is connected with 12 vertices $[i \pm 2j, j], [i \pm (2j+1), j], [i \pm (2j +$ $2), j], [i, j \pm 2i], [i, j \pm (2i + 1)], [i, j \pm (2j + 2)]$, each by an edge, where additions are performed modulo m.

LEMMA 1. For any positive integer m, M(m) is an $(m^2, 12, (2-\sqrt{3})/4)$ -magnifier.

PROOF. Fix any $X \subseteq V(M(m))$ with $|X| \leq m^2/2$, and let $X' = \{[i, j, 0] : [i, j] \in X\}$. Since $\operatorname{GG}(m)$ is an $(m^2, 7, (2 - \sqrt{3})/2)$ -expander by Theorem I and $|X'| = |X| \leq m^2/2$, we have

$$\begin{split} |\partial X| &\geq |\Gamma_{GG(m)}(X')| - |X'| \\ &\geq \left\{ 1 + \frac{2 - \sqrt{3}}{2} \left(1 - \frac{|X'|}{m^2} \right) \right\} \cdot |X'| - |X'| \\ &\geq \frac{2 - \sqrt{3}}{4} \cdot |X'| \\ &\geq \frac{2 - \sqrt{3}}{4} \cdot |X|. \end{split}$$

Hence, M(m) is an $(m^2, 12, (2 - \sqrt{3})/4)$ -magnifier.

LEMMA 2. If G is an (n, d, c)-magnifier and $k \leq cn/4$ is a positive integer then G - F contains a connected component of size at least n - (1+1/c)k for any $F \subset V(G)$ with $|F| \leq k$.

PROOF. Fix any set $F \subset V(G)$ with $|F| \leq k \leq cn/4$. Let $G_0, G_1, \ldots, G_{t-1}$ be the connected components of G - F, and $X_i = V(G_i)$ for any $i \in [t]$.

CLAIM 1. For any $S \subseteq [t]$,

$$\sum_{i \in S} |X_i| \le \frac{k}{c} \quad or \quad \sum_{i \in S} |X_i| > \frac{n}{2}.$$

PROOF OF CLAIM 1: Assume contrary that there exists a set $S \subseteq [t]$ such that

$$\frac{k}{c} < \sum_{i \in S} |X_i| \le \frac{n}{2},$$

and let $X = \bigcup_{i \in S} X_i$. Then, we have

$$\frac{k}{c} < |X| = \left| \bigcup_{i \in S} X_i \right| = \sum_{i \in S} |X_i| \le \frac{n}{2}.$$

and so $|\partial X| \ge c|X| > k$. On the other hand, since $\partial X \subseteq F$, we have $|\partial X| \le |F| \le k$, which is a contradiction. \Box The following is immediate from Claim 1.

CLAIM 2. $|X_i| \leq k/c$ or $|X_i| > n/2$ for any $i \in [t]$. \Box

CLAIM 3. If $S = \{i \in [t] : |X_i| \le k/c\}$ then

$$\left|\bigcup_{i\in S} X_i\right| \le \frac{k}{c}$$

PROOF OF CLAIM 3: Assume for contradiction that

. .

$$\left|\bigcup_{i\in S} X_i\right| > \frac{k}{c},$$

and let $S = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_s\}$, where s = |S|. By the assumption, there exists a smallest integer $l \leq s$ such that

$$\left| \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} X_{i_j} \right| > \frac{k}{c}.$$

By the definition of l, we have

$$\left|\bigcup_{j=1}^{l-1} X_{i_j}\right| \le \frac{k}{c}$$

By the definition of S, we have

$$|X_{i_l}| \le \frac{k}{c}.$$

Since $k \leq cn/4$ by the assumption of Lemma 2, we conclude that

$$\left| \bigcup_{j=1}^{k} X_{i_j} \right| \le \frac{2k}{c} \le \frac{n}{2},$$

which is contradicting to Claim 1. \Box

By Claims 2 and 3, there exists a unique integer *i* such that $|X_i| > n/2$ since $k + (k/c) \le 2k/c \le n/2$. Thus, we have

$$|X_i| = |V(G)| - |F| - \left| \bigcup_{j \neq i} X_j \right| \ge n - \left(1 + \frac{1}{c}\right) k,$$

and we conclude that G_i is a connected component of size at least n - (1 + 1/c)k. This completes the proof of Lemma 2. \Box

2.3 Products of Magnifiers and Paths

For any two graphs G and H, the product of G and H, denoted by $G \times H$, is the graph defined as follows: $V(G \times H) = V(G) \times V(H)$; Any two vertices [u, x] and [v, y] in $G \times H$ are joined by an edge if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

1.
$$(u, v) \in E(G)$$
 and $x = y$, or

2. u = v and $(x, y) \in E(H)$.

LEMMA 3. Let n_1 and n_2 be two positive integers, and k be a positive integer with $k \leq \min\{n_1/4, n_2 - 1\}$. If G is an (n_1, d, c) -magnifier for some positive integer d and positive number c then $G \times P_{n_2} - F$ contains a connected component of size at least n - (1 + 1/c)k for any $F \subseteq V(G \times P_{n_2})$ with |F| = k, where $n = n_1 n_2$ is the number of vertices in $G \times P_{n_2}$.

PROOF. Let $S = \{v \in V(G) : (\{v\} \times V(P_{n_2})) \cap F = \emptyset\},\$ $F_x = \{v \in V(G) : [v, x] \in F\}$, and $k_x = |F_x|$ for any $x \in V(P_{n_2})$. Then, $|S| \ge n_1 - k \ge (3 - c)n_1/4 > n_1/2$ and $k = \sum_{v \in V(P_{n_2})} k_x$. By Lemma 2, $G - F_x$ contains a connected component of size at least $n_1 - (1 + 1/c)k_x$ if $k_x < cn_1/4$. We denote the connected component by G_x . For any $x \in V(P_{n_2})$, V_x is defined as the set of vertices in G_x if $k_x \leq cn_1/4$, and \emptyset otherwise. Let

$$U = \bigcup_{x \in V(P_{n_2})} (S \cup V_x) \times \{x\}$$

and Q denote the subgraph of $G \times P_{n_2}$ induced by U. We are going to show that the connected component containing Q is a desired one by proving that Q is connected and $|U| \geq$ n + (1 + 1/c)k.

We need a few claims in order to prove that Q is connected.

CLAIM 4.
$$V_z = V(G)$$
 for some $z \in V(P_{n_2})$.

PROOF OF CLAIM 4: For otherwise, $k_x \ge 1$ for all $x \in$ $V(P_{n_2})$, and hence $k = \sum_{x \in V(P_{n_2})} k_x \ge n_2 \ge k+1$, which is a contradiction. \Box

CLAIM 5.
$$S \cap V_x \neq \emptyset$$
 for any $x \in V(P_n)$ with $k_x \leq cn_1/4$.

Proof of Claim 5: The claim follows from the facts that $|S| > n_1/2$ and

$$|V_x| \ge n_1 - \left(1 + \frac{1}{c}\right)k_x \ge \frac{3-c}{4} \cdot n_1 > \frac{n_1}{2}.$$

Now we are ready to prove that Q is connected. We show that for any two vertices $[u, x], [v, y] \in U$, there exists a path in Q connecting them.

Consider the case when $x \neq y$, $k_x \leq cn_1/4$, $k_y \leq cn_1/4$, $u \in V_x - S$, and $v \in V_y - S$. Since $u' \in S \cap V_x$ and $v' \in V_y$. $S \cap V_y$ by Claim 5, we conclude that [u, x] and [u, y] are connected by the concatenation of the following five paths: (i) a path connecting [u, x] and [u', x] on $G_x \times \{x\}$; (ii) the path connecting [u', x] and [u', z] on $\{u'\} \times P_{n_2}$; (iii) a path connecting [u', z] and [v', z] on $G \times \{z\}$; (iv) the path connecting [v', z] and [v', y] on $\{v'\} \times P_{n_2}$; and (v) a path connecting [v', y] and [v, y] on $G_y \times \{y\}$, where z is a vertex satisfying the condition in Claim 4. (See Figure 1.)

For the remaining cases, it is easy to show that there exists a path connecting the vertices [u, x] and [v, y] by similar arguments. Thus, we conclude that Q is connected.

It remains to show that $|U| \ge n - (1 + 1/c)k$. If $k_x \le cn_1/4$ then we have

$$|V_x| \ge n_1 - (1 + 1/c)k_x,$$

and if $k_x > cn_1/4$ then we have

$$|S| \ge n_1 - k \ge n_1 - (n_1/4)$$

> $n_1 - (k_x/c) \ge n_1 - (1 + 1/c)k_x$



Figure 1: Path connecting [u, x] and [v, y]

Since

$$U = \bigcup_{x \in V(P_{n_2})} (S \cup V_x) \times \{x\},\$$

we have

$$|U| \geq \sum_{x \in V(P_{n_2})} \left\{ n_1 - \left(1 + \frac{1}{c}\right) k_x \right\}$$
$$= n - \left(1 + \frac{1}{c}\right) k. \square$$

2.4 Proof of Theorem 1

We first prove the following lemma.

r

LEMMA 4. For any positive integers n and k, we can construct a graph $H_{n,k}$ satisfying the following three conditions:

- (c1) $H_{n,k} F$ contains a connected component of size at least n for any $F \subseteq V(H_{n,k})$ with $|F| \leq k$,
- (c2) $|V(H_{n,k})| \leq n + \gamma k + \delta$ for some constants γ and δ , and

$$(c3) \ \Delta(H_{n,k}) \le 14.$$

PROOF. First, assume that $1 \le k \le \sqrt{n/8}$. Set *m* as an integer satisfying that $(m-1)^2 < 4k \le m^2$, and c = $(2-\sqrt{3})/4$. Then, we have

$$4k \le m^2 < 4k + 4\sqrt{k} + 1 \le 8k + 1.$$

Let $n_1 = m^2$ and $n_2 = [(n + (1 + 1/c)k)/m^2]$. Then, we have $k \leq \frac{n_1}{4},$

and

(1)

$$n_1 n_2 \ge n + \left(1 + \frac{1}{c}\right)k. \tag{2}$$

Since

$$n_2 = \left\lceil \frac{n + (1+1/c)k}{m^2} \right\rceil \ge \left\lceil \frac{8k^2 + (1+1/c)k}{8k+1} \right\rceil$$
$$= \left\lceil k + \frac{k}{c(8k+1)} \right\rceil \ge k+1,$$

we have

$$k \le n_2 - 1. \tag{3}$$

We show that $M(m) \times P_{n_2}$ is a desired graph $H_{n,k}$. Recall that M(m) is a $(n_1, 12, c)$ -magnifier by Lemma 1. It follows that $\Delta(M(m) \times P_{n_2}) = 14$. Thus, $M(m) \times P_{n_2}$ satisfies (c3). Since

$$|V(M(m) \times P_{n_2}) = n_1 n_2$$

$$\leq \left\{ \frac{n + (1 + 1/c)k}{n_1} + 1 \right\} n_1$$

$$= n + \left(1 + \frac{1}{c}\right)k + n_1$$

$$\leq n + \left(9 + \frac{1}{c}\right)k + 1,$$

 $M(m) \times P_{n_2}$ satisfies (c2). From inequalities (1), (2), and (3) together with Lemma 3, $M(m) \times P_{n_2}$ satisfies (c1). Hence, $M(m) \times P_{n_2}$ is a desired graph $H_{n,k}$.

Next, assume that $\sqrt{n/8} < k \leq cn/(3-c)$, where $c = (2-\sqrt{3})/4$. Set *m* as an integer satisfying that

$$(m-1)^2 < n + \left(1 + \frac{1}{c}\right)k \le m^2$$

We show that M(m) is a desired graph $H_{n,k}$. Since M(m) is a $(n_1, 12, c)$ -magnifier and $\Delta(M(m)) = 12$, M(m) satisfies (c3). Since

$$|V(M(m))| = m^{2}$$

$$< n + \left(1 + \frac{1}{c}\right)k + 2\sqrt{n + \left(1 + \frac{1}{c}\right)k} + 1$$

$$< n + \left(1 + \frac{1}{c}\right)k + 2\sqrt{8k^{2} + \left(1 + \frac{1}{c}\right)k} + 1$$

$$\leq n + \left(1 + \frac{1}{c} + 2\sqrt{9 + \frac{1}{c}}\right)k + 1,$$

M(m) satisfies (c2). Since

$$\frac{c}{4}\left\{n+\left(1+\frac{1}{c}\right)k\right\}-k=\frac{cn}{4}-\frac{3-c}{4}k\geq 0,$$

we have

$$k \le \frac{c}{4} \left\{ n + \left(1 + \frac{1}{c}\right) k \right\} \le \frac{cm^2}{4}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2, M(m) satisfies (c1). Hence, we conclude that M(m) is a desired graph $H_{n,k}$.

Finally, assume that k > cn/(3-c), where $c = (2-\sqrt{3})/4$. Set $n' = \lceil (3-c)k/c \rceil$. Since $k \le cn'/(3-c)$, we can construct $H_{n',k}$ as shown above. We show that $H_{n',k}$ is a desired graph. Since $H_{n',k} - F$ contains a connected component of size at least $n' \ge n$ for any $F \subseteq V(H_{n',k})$ with $|F| \le k$, $H_{n',k}$ satisfies (c1). Since $|V(H_{n',k})| \le n' + \gamma'k + \delta' \le n + \{\gamma' + (3-c)/c\}k + (\delta' + 1)$ for some γ' and δ' , $H_{n',k}$ satisfies (c2). Since $\Delta(H_{n',k}) \le 14$, $H_{n',k}$ satisfies (c3). Thus, $H_{n',k}$ is a desired graph. \Box

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 1. Let d = 14, $n' = \lfloor n/2d \rfloor$, and f_u be a one-to-one mapping from $\Gamma_{H_{n',k}}(u)$ to $\lfloor d \rfloor$. $G_{n,k}$ is the graph defined as follows: $V(G_{n,k}) =$

 $V(H_{n',k}) \times [2d]$; Any two vertices $[u, i], [v, j] \in V(G_{n,k})$ are connected by an edge if one of the following two conditions is satisfied:

- (i) u = v and $j = (i \pm 1) \mod (2d);$
- (ii) $(u,v) \in E(H_{n',k}), i = 2f_u(v) + r, j = 2f_v(u) + r, and r \in [2].$

We are going to show that $G_{n,k}$ is a desired k-FT graph for P_n . It is easy to see the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 5. $|V(G_{n,k})| \leq n + 2d\gamma k + 2d$.

LEMMA 6.
$$\Delta(G_{n,k}) = 3.$$

It remains to show the following:

LEMMA 7. $G_{n,k}$ is a k-FT graph for P_n .

PROOF. We show that for any $F \subseteq V(G_{n,k})$ with $|F| \leq k$, $G_{n,k} - F$ contains P_n as a subgraph. Let $F' = \{v \in V(H_{n',k}) : [v,j] \in F, j \in [2d]\}$. Since $|F'| \leq |F| \leq k$ by definition, $H_{n',k} - F'$ contains a connected component \mathcal{H} of size at least n'. Let T denote a spanning tree of \mathcal{H} . A vertex r of T is designated as a root, and T is considered as a rooted tree. For any $v \in V(T)$, let T(v) is a subtree of T consisting of the descendants of v. Define that

$$X(v) = \{[v, j] : j \in [2d]\},\$$

$$Y(v) = \{[u, i] : u \in T(v), i \in [2d]\}$$

and $\mathcal{G}(v)$ denote the subgraph of $G_{n,k}$ induced by Y(v).

CLAIM 6. Let v_0, \ldots, v_{m-1} be the children of $u \in V(T)$. If $\mathcal{G}(v_l)$ has a Hamilton cycle for every $l \in [m]$ then $\mathcal{G}(u)$ has a Hamilton cycle.

PROOF OF CLAIM 6: For each $l \in [m]$, let C^l denote a Hamilton cycle of $\mathcal{G}(v_l)$, and let C(u) denote the subgraph of $G_{n,k}$ induced by X(u), which is isomorphic to C_{2d} . Define C as the graph obtained from $C^0, C^1, \ldots, C^{m-1}$, and C(u) by replacing two edges $([u, 2f_u(v_l)], [u, 2f_u(v_l)+1])$ and $([v_l, 2f_{v_l}(u)], [v_l, 2f_{v_l}(u)+1])$ with $([u, 2f_u(v_l)], [v_l, 2f_{v_l}(u)])$ and $([u, 2f_u(v_l) + 1], [v_l, 2f_{v_l}(u) + 1])$ for each $l \in [m]$. It is easy to see that C is a Hamilton cycle of $\mathcal{G}(u)$. (See Figure 2.)

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{G}(v)$ has a Hamilton cycle if $v \in V(T)$ is a leaf. Hence, we have by Claim 6 a Hamilton cycle of $\mathcal{G}(r)$. Since

$$|V(\mathcal{G}(r))| = 2d \cdot |V(T)| \ge 2dn' \ge 2d \cdot \frac{n}{2d} = n,$$

 $G_{n,k} - F$ contains P_n as a subgraph. Hence, we conclude that $G_{n,k}$ is a k-FT graph for P_n .

Lemmas 5, 6, and 7 complete the proof of Theorem 1. \Box

3. CONCLUDING REMARKS

It is worth noting that there exists no k-FT graph for P_n with n + O(k) vertices and maximum degree of 2. Let Gbe a k-FT graph for P_n with maximum degree of 2, and let N denote the number of vertices in G. Assume without loss of generality that G has no connected components of



Figure 2: Construction of C from m + 1 cycles C^0, \ldots, C^{m-1} , and C(u).

size smaller than n. Let α be the number of connected components in G. Then,

$$N \ge \alpha n.$$
 (4)

Since the maximum degree of G is 2, a graph H obtained from G by removing a vertex of each connected component in G is a disjoint union of paths, which is a subgraph of $P_{N-\alpha}$. If G is a k-FT graph for P_n , H is a $(k-\alpha)$ -FT graph for P_n , and so $P_{N-\alpha}$ is also $(k-\alpha)$ -FT graph for P_n . Hence,

$$N - \alpha \ge (k - \alpha + 1)n,$$

that is

$$N \ge (k - \alpha + 1)n + \alpha. \tag{5}$$

By inequalities (4) and (5), we have

$$N \ge \frac{\alpha n + (k - \alpha + 1)n + \alpha}{2} \ge \frac{(k + 1)n}{2}.$$

It follows that there exists no k-FT graph for P_n with n + O(k) vertices and maximum degree of 2.

4. REFERENCES

- ALON, N., AND CHUNG, F. Explicit construction of linear sized tolerant networks. *Discrete Math.* 72 (1988), 15–19.
- [2] BRUCK, J., CYPHER, R., AND HO, C. Fault-tolerant meshes with small degree. SIAM Journal on Computing 26 (1997), 1764–1784.
- [3] DUTT, S., AND HAYES, J. Designing fault-tolerant systems using automorphisms. *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing* 12 (1991), 249–268.
- [4] ERDÖS, P., GRAHAM, R., AND SZEMERÉDI, E. On sparse graphs with dense long paths. *Comp. and Math. with Appl.* 1 (1975), 145–161.
- [5] GABBER, O., AND GALIL, Z. Explicit constructions of linear-sized superconcentrators. *Journal of Computer* and System Sciences 22 (1981), 407–420.
- [6] HARARY, F., AND HAYES, J. Node fault tolerance in graphs. *Networks* 27 (1996), 19–23.
- [7] HAYES, J. A graph model for fault-tolerant computing systems. *IEEE Trans. on Comput. C-25* (1976), 875–883.
- [8] PAOLI, M., WONG, W., AND WONG, C. Minimum k-hamiltonian graphs, II. J. Graph Theory 10 (1986), 79–95.
- [9] UENO, S., BAGCHI, A., HAKIMI, S., AND SCHMEICHEL, E. On minimum fault-tolerant networks. SIAM J. on Discrete Mathematics 6, 4 (November 1993), 565–574.
- [10] WONG, W., AND WONG, C. Minimum k-hamiltonian graphs. J. Graph Theory 8 (1984), 155–165.
- [11] ZHANG, L. Fault tolerant networks with small degree. In Proceedings of Twelfth annual ACM Symposium on Parallel Algorithms and Architectures (2000), pp. 64–69.
- [12] ZHANG, L. Fault-tolerant meshes with small degree. *IEEE Transactions on Computers 51*, 5 (May 2002), 553–560.